	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	08/581,992	PELLEGRINO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hani Kazimi	3604
	Hani Kazimi	3691
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICE O	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED ir or other appropriate commu GHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>12/6/07</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-18</u> .		
<ul> <li>3.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>a) All</li> <li>b) Some*</li> <li>c) None</li> <li>of the:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ul>		or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	_	
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		formal Patent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),     Paper No./Mail Date		Amendment/Comment
4.   Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🗌 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	· _•
		/Hani M. Kazimi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Art Unit: 3691

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on December
 2007. Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

## Examiner's Amendment

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with attorney Robert Fletcher on December 4, 2007. The application has been amended as follows:

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

- 1. (Currently amended) A process for evaluating the strength of a specific intellectual property for purposes of commercializing it comprising the steps of:
  - a. interacting with a computer;

- b. entering data from one or more sources including from a complete set of pre-selected tasks and from a questionnaire; into said computer, said computer having been pre-programmed such that said data is organized by one or more predetermined risk factors grouped into categories consisting essentially of product data, manufacturing data, patent strength, patented commodity, patent/business relationship, general background, competitive environment, litigation history, insurance history and economic climate.
- evaluating, using a computer, the data by comparing each risk factor and each category to a preset standard;
- d. computing, using a computer, a score by transforming said data into a composite score which represents a relative degree of strength associated with any undertaking to commercialize said intellectual property.
- (Original) The process of Claim 1 wherein entering of the data into the computer is done via telephone from a location other than the location having the computer.
- 3. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 1 wherein the predetermined risk factors are grouped into categories selected from the categorized of subjects comprising: Technical Orientation, Technical Review, Preliminary Assessment, Patent Study, Market Identification, and Analysis, Industry

Intelligence, Cost/Benefit Analysis, Marketing/Licensing Assessment and Licensing/Enforcement.

- 4. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 3 wherein transforming said data is achieved by calculating a category score for each category.
- 5. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 4 wherein each category score is weighted and combined with other category scores and used to modify a primary risk indicia to calculate said composite score.
- (Original) The process of Claim 5 wherein the composite score is modified by a moral hazard factor to calculate a probable success factor.
- 7. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 6 wherein the probable success factor is multiplied in a post-computer step by projected recoveries to determine the net recovery from commercializing the intellectual property.
- (Original) The process of Claim 7 wherein the intellectual property to be commercialized is a patent.
- 9. (Original) The process of Claim 7 wherein the intellectual property to be commercialized is a trademark.
- 10. (Original) The process of Claim 7 wherein the intellectual property to be commercialized is a copyright.
- 11. (Currently amended) A process for determining the probable success of a lawsuit comprising the steps of:
  - a. interacting with a pre-programmed computer;

- <u>b.</u> entering data from one or more sources including from a completed set of pre-selected tasks and from a questionnaire into said computer, said computer having been pre-programmed such that said data is organized by pre-determined categories <u>consisting essentially of product data</u>, <u>manufacturing data</u>, <u>patent strength</u>, <u>patented commodity</u>, <u>patent/business relationship</u>, <u>general background</u>, <u>competitive environment</u>, <u>litigation history</u>, <u>insurance history and economic climate</u>.
- <u>c</u>. evaluating, <u>using a computer</u>, the data by comparing each category to a preset standard;
- d. transforming, using a computer, said data into a composite score which represents a relative degree of strength associated with the lawsuit;
- e. using the composite score to determine a probable success factor for undertaking the lawsuit.
- 12. (Original) The process of Claim 11 wherein the lawsuit is one involving intellectual property.
- 13. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 12 wherein the composite score is based upon an evaluation of one or more risk factors specific to the intellectual property upon which a suit is being brought.
- 14. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 12 wherein the composite score is a category score resulting from categorizing various risk factors into categories and determining a category score.

- 15. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 14 wherein the category score is used to modify a primary risk indicia in determining a composite score.
- 16. (Original) The process of Claim 15 wherein an adjustment for moral hazard is made to the composite score resulting in a probable success factor.
- 17. (Previously presented) The process of Claim 16 wherein the probable success factor is applied in a post-computer step to a projected recovery to determine the net recovery.
- 18. (Original) The process of Claim 14 wherein the determination of the category score is accomplished using at least one relative risk factor.
- 19. (Cancelled)

Any comments considered necessary by Applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee, and to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled Comments on Statement of Reasons for allowance.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (571) 272-6745. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

08/581,992

Art Unit: 3691

supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hani M. Kazimi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691